Minutes of Regular Board Meeting
of the
Gun Barrel City Economic Development Corporation

The Gun Barrel City Economic Development Corporation met in Regular Session at the office located at 1716 West Main Street, Gun Barrel City, Texas, 75156 on September 16, 2014 at 5:00 p.m.

Call to Order

President - Linda Rankin: Present
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Present
Board Member - Pat Cassady: Present
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Present
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Present
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Present

Citizen's Comment: None

Item 1. Discuss and take action to approve the EDC Board minutes of the regular meeting on August 19, 2014.

Board Member - Sandy Janow: Motion was made to approve the minutes as written.
Board Member - Pat Cassady: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Approve
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve
Board Member - Pat Cassady: Approve
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Approve
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve
Motion carried.

Item 2. Discuss and take action to approve the August 2014 financials.

Cavanaugh: The revenues are coming in on a year to date basis with a $3,000 favorable. The forecasted revue for the year from the taxes is coming in on schedule. Revolving loans were current up to August with the exception of one payment that was made on September 2nd, and didn't get into the August financials. We do have an overage on the budget and that is legal expense. I'm not sure what the guidelines are but it is now $11,000 over budget on a $6,000 budget. That may have to go to City Council, I'm not sure. Rankin: We do know why it was in there and it's because the defaulted loans and the litigation that occurred.
Cavanaugh: I believe if we exceed the line item by a certain amount, $10,000, I believe that has to go back to City Council. Besides that everything is on track, no issues. We've underspent on our budget because of the projects we have not completed.
Damiano: On the overage, do we need a motion to send it back to City Council or does that happen automatically.
Rankin: Since this is the end of the year budget and we are starting a brand new budget I would not say that we would need to go back to council at this point. The payments have already been made. It's just an overage on our budget on that one line item. So it does not need to go back to council.
Cavanaugh: I think we understand what caused all of those overages.
Rankin: Right. So on our new budget we've included a line item for our legal fee and hopefully we won't have those same charges.

Board Member - Gary Damiano: Motion was made to approve the financials as presented.
Board Member - Pat Cassady: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Approve
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve
Board Member - Pat Cassady: Approve
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Approve
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve
Motion carried.

Item #3. Discuss cost associated with Country Place Living as it relates to the Texas Capital Fund Grant.
Rankin: The EDC sold the company some property two years ago. In that agreement with them purchasing that land the city and the EDC were going to help put in Church Street. Today we are talking about a Capital Fund Grant to put in the infrastructure and how that relates to the city and EDC. Gerry Boren and Dennis Baade had been working on this issue. We've also included First State Bank in there.
Baade: The Texas Capital Fund is a $399,900 grant to build North Luther Lane. Part of the grant is tied to employee. Country Place will employee twenty (20) full-time people and that help us get the grant. They (Country Place) knew of this when they were buying the property. We said that we would work them and try and get the grant. What we are hoping to do is take North Luther Lane all the way to Church Street from Hwy 334. We already have funds from the affordable senior housing to do one third of the road down past the affordable senior housing. If the City was able to kick in a little more we would be able to do the rest of the road down to there. That's the idea behind the grant. The State does require collateral on this. We've talked to Country Place Living on this and they are willing to put up half the collateral so the City and EDC would have to put up the other half. Linda was kind enough to talk to Sue Cardin at First State Bank. Linda brought up the idea of using land for the City's piece of collateral for approximately $200,000. Sue thinks that will work fine. It's just a matter of getting Country Place Living to put the rest of it in an escrow account with the City as the beneficiary and it should work.
Damiano: This is a question to the entire board. I read over that document and there is an awful lot of information there. All of the questions I had have been answered. It seems like the future board is going to have to live with the letter of credit and continue to make decision against. Might it make more sense to table it until after the new board has been seated.
Rankin: The reason we brought it up today is because there is a letter of credit that has to be created by the bank. The collateral needs to go in there so they can act on this grant. They came to us a month ago wanting to act on the grant as soon as possible because of the deadlines. Am I correct that you all are still trying to make some kind of deadline?
Baade: Gerry knows what the deadline was. He talked to me two months ago and said we need to get moving on this. I don't know exactly what the deadline is.
Damiano: In reading the document I read 3 years.
Rankin: That was from the time the grant was initiated.
Damiano: Which was a year ago?
Rankin: We have to have the road in within three years of the grant, actually the closing of Silverleaf. If I'm not mistaken we have to have it in within three years for Country Place. Road construction is going to take some time and it's going to take some engineering. That's why we are trying to move quickly on this. As far as our obligation over the next three years, if the road is completed within the next year from my understanding we don't have an obligation after that. Am I correct?
Baade: Yes the collateral is released by the State.
Rankin: As soon as the road is completed.
Baade: Right. The State just wants to make sure the road is completed and as long as Country Place Living employee their twenty (20) full-time employees, which we fully expect they will, there shouldn't be any issues.
Damiano: I guess I'm still wondering about my original statement. I don't think a couple of weeks is going to make that much of a difference if the new board has an opportunity to set down and possibly get a presentation from yourself, Gerry or whoever is involved to bring everybody up to speed. It might be a more prudent path to follow. Put it on the back burner for two or three weeks.

Rankin: The same information that's given here today-we have David Skains, Patsy Black is here. Rob Rea is out of town so our new board members just heard this discussion. So you are getting it firsthand just the same as this board. If they have any questions, I'd welcome your questions.
Cavanaugh: I have a comment or question Dennis. What land are we putting up for collateral?
Rankin: That's item 4. I'll just read item 4.

Item #4. Discuss and take action on a Letter of Credit with First State Bank collateralized by 56. X and 8.1 Acres owned by the Gun Barrel City EDC as escrow for the Texas Capital Fund Grant.
Baade: The fifty-six acres is what is left of the hundred acre purchase. That would probably be the least desirable property the EDC owns that would sell. The 8.1 acres could possibly sell and we would have to make other arrangements with the State. The State seems very cooperative. I've not talked to but Gerry Boren has and they seem to be cooperating well. Mike Howell is actually the one that runs the grant funding for this. He keeps up with all the grants for the City.
Rankin: I might add that all of our acreage is behind the park. It's not any of our land near 334. The 8.1 acres is to the right of the park and the City is already using that. We are not planning on selling it out from under them at this time so that's another piece of property that we know that's not going to be sold. Those two pieces of property are usable for collateral because we don't expect anything to occur on them.
Damiano: What is the book value that we are caring those two pieces of land at?
Rankin: I don't have that in front of me right now.
Cavanagh: It's in the financials. I believe it's about five hundred thousand dollars.
Rankin: No it's not because it's the amount that the land was purchased for. It was purchased for less than that back in the day.
Cavanaugh: The sixty acres is currently on the books for four hundred seventy seven thousand. So I guess the question is are we putting way more collateral up than
Rankin: The four hundred seventy seven thousand is for the full 92 acres.
Cavanaugh: No it's not.
Rankin: It's not.
Cavanaugh: It's been adjusted for the sales.
Baade: In the financials it should be in as cost. It should be in as present value. I believe we spend like four thousand three hundred an acre. It's possible that you could get by just using the 56 acre parcel.
It's right on the edge of not having two hundred thousand in collateral.
Cavanaugh: I just think it's a relative question if we are putting up a lot more collateral than we need to.
Damiano: If we are using it for collateral, wouldn't they need to do an appraisal?
Rankin: They will.
Damiano: And who pays for that?
Rankin: The bank. The bank will require us to pay a $250.00 fee for the appraisal.
Damiano: I at this point I'd like to make a motion that we table three (3) and (4) until the new board gets seated.
Rankin: I don't see the difference that it makes.
Damiano: I have a motion on the floor.

Board Member - Gary Damiano: Motion to table items 3 & 4.
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: could not hear vote.
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve
Board Member - Pat Cassady: Disapprove
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Approve
Board Member - Sandy Janow: could not hear vote
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Disapprove
Motion carried.

Rankin: This is not something that's going to deter the EDC from doing any of their projects. It's a simple way for us to help the City and in the past we've always tried to work with the City and to put some land

down as collateral instead of using our capital and the City's working capital. This is a way to make this work and get the road completed. All of the land doesn't have to be used for the collateral. If we want to use a partial to get the two hundred thousand that's ok too, but when they do the appraisal they are going to look at the whole piece.
Cavanaugh: Dennis how long do you think it will be before the road is completed and the funds released? Did you say a year?
Baade: No, Gerry would know that. It was Gerry that initiated this actually, because he said two months ago that we've got to get going on Country Place Living. There was a period of negotiations with them. We originally when to Country Place Living and said the State requires four hundred thousand dollars in collateral. They didn't do anything. Linda, Gerry and I had a discussion about what we could do, how should we do this and we thought a 50-50 would work. They went for that.
Rankin: They have already applied or wanting to apply for their permit so they can build. They are ready to get started so we are at a point where we need to put the road in. They need the road for their access in and out. It's something that needs to be quickly.
Baade: As Linda pointed out, Country Place actually has to put up their facility and employee the twenty people so we can get the grant funding.
Damiano: But when will they have the twenty people employed?
Baade: I don't know the timing, that's Gerry-.
Damiano: That's the part that is scary.
Baade: Gerry knows the timing. If Gerry says we should move on this then, I move on it.
Damiano: Do we understand the timing and the information on this?
Cavanaugh: I guess the other point is that we have over a million dollars in cash.
Rankin: Why would we want to use it?
Cavanaugh: Because we are not using it.
Rankin: I don't agree with that.
Cavanaugh: Do you think we will spend a million dollars in the next year?
Rankin: We will be working on a business park and working on some of these larger projects like a gas line. This is not the time to use our capital.
Cavanaugh: I did agree with Gary when we voted that we are putting up more value for the land than is required.
Rankin: And we don't have too.
Damiano: I'm still going to go back to my major objection even though-. Most of this board is not up to speed on what this is all about. Part of it is tied to two memos that are not signed and not dated. There are two Memorandums of Understanding.
Rankin: We do not have a Memorandum of Understanding regarding this.
Damiano: I have two. There are two in the packet.
Rankin: I know. We put those in there so you could see what was on a Memorandum of Understanding. None of these pertain to this. This is just a gesture to help the City to help put their Texas Capital Fund grant put into place. This is all it is for; to help the City. It was not part of an agreement. This is something we have brought to the board to help the City. Patsy, you had a question?
Patsy Black: I was wondering, this has been brought to the Board as a project, is that right?
Rankin: We have Country Place Living as a project.
Black: There is a performance agreement?
Rankin: Yes-not a performance agreement. We had a sale of property and it was the City who had an agreement with Country Place Living.
Black: Does the EDC have a performance agreement?
Rankin: No we do not.
Black: I thought we had to have a performance agreement on everything we spent money on.
Rankin: As we go forward, we would after we agree today; we would create a performance agreement.
Black: I was thinking I read where it had to have one up front.
Rankin: No mam.
Black: The payback-who is-when are we going to get our land back? You are going-.
Rankin: As soon as the road is completed the Letter of Credit is removed.
Black: One of the questions, I know there is something in the EDC law-I don't remember what it is. We can either not build a road or we can't repair a road. I'm not sure which one it is, but there is something about roads. Has this been looked into?

Rankin: Yes and we can apply for this under the Texas Capital Fund for infrastructure.
Black: For a new road?
Rankin: Yes and since we are not actually building the road all we are doing is putting collateral down for land that is in there. You can use it for mortgages, you can use it for liens, and you can use it for payment.
Black: But were using it to build a road?
Rankin: The City is building the road; we are helping them with their collateral. We are not building a road.
Black: I know, but how did this fall into the EDC, the law?
Rankin: I will be glad to give it to you, I don't have it now. I have looked at what the EDC can and cannot do. We would be looking at the City as being a client and we would be helping the City. We wouldn't be looking at it as our project.
Black: But we are spending money on it.
Rankin: We are not spending money. We are using our land for collateral, we are not spending any.
Black: So we are taking money and putting it up that can't be used for anything else.
Rankin: Only land, not capital.
Black: But that is money, I mean.
Rankin: If y'all don't want to we can vote on this.
Black: I was just wondering, because I looked at the first page and it said go to page 22 and I went there and I saw it was a three year contract on it so I didn't read it any further.
Rankin: The bank took a strong look at it, both her branch President and Vice President and they read through and they tried to determine if it was one year or three year and from their determination they thought as soon as the road was completed. They have read the entire document.
Black: Like I said I didn't read it all the way through after it said three years.
Webster: I just wanted to make a clarification. There is money being spent. You are spending money on appraisals. It's going to be more than two hundred fifty dollars. You will spend $250 on the surveys. Appraisals are going to run you twenty-five hundred to five thousand dollars.
Rankin: The bank has said they will pay that part. They only want us to pay the $250.00. We already had a survey and we've given that to them. I'm sorry when you said appraisal I was thinking survey.
Webster: Who is paying for the Letter of Credit?
Rankin: I would think the City.
Webster: So the EDC is not spending any money at all?
Rankin: As far as I know at this point, Gerry is not here to discuss that part and he and Dennis are the only ones in discussions regarding that.
Webster: The collateral for the EDC, if something happens and they get to take that collateral-when they do the appraisals and they take a first lien on the collateral, is that correct? Are you going to have a substitution agreement for substitution for collateral in case somebody wants to buy that land?
Rankin: Yes.
Webster: And you can substitute other collateral.
Rankin: Actually yes and if we were to sell it we could include it into the note for that money designated for that money to go back to the bank as collateral in place of the land.
Webster: That's all I have.
Cavanaugh: I have a commit. I'm sort of disappointed that these discussions have been going on and the current treasurer was not involved. I hope that you deal more closely with the new treasurer.
Rankin: Motion, do we have a motion or what would you like to do at this point?
Cassidy: I thought we already voted on it.
Rankin: No that was a motion to table it or delay it. Dennis is there anything else you would like to say?
Baade: I think Country Place has cooperated in the process and they've built other facilities and I've looked at them. They are a quality organization. They are using ED Five funds from the federal government Immigration Naturalization to get the funding for the project. They've got all of their investors' lined-up. They want to break ground, they want to move forward.
Rankin: Can you tell me how the City will get their collateral if the EDC does not participate?
Baade: They would have to use cash. They don't have land or anything they can use to provide the collateral. Initially when Gerry and I spoke about this he said maybe the EDC could put up half of the two hundred thousand they were looking for from the City. He was going to have the City put up a hundred thousand in cash and asked the EDC to put up a hundred thousand in cash. But Linda started talking to

Sue Cardin and came up with maybe using land as collateral. So neither the City nor the EDC has to put up in capital.
Damiano: I guess the more I hear the less I know. There seems to be a lot of blanks in this, which concerns me and I think it should. It's just a statement.
Rankin: OK, anything else you would like to discuss? Is there a motion.....if there is not, we will not take action.

Board Member - Pat Cassady: Motion to move forward on the Letter of Credit with First State Bank.
Board Member - Jim Osborne: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Approve
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Disapprove
Board Member - Pat Cassady: Approve
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Disapprove
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve
Motion carried.

Rankin: Please pass that message on to Gerry. He can work with us and our new treasurer and the board. We will figure out how that's going to take place as we move forward

Item ~~3~~ 5. Discuss and take action to appoint Gary Damiano to the Task Force to replace Jim Cavanaugh. /Webster
Webster: As you know we have a task force on Town Center formerly Heritage Cove. The emphasis was to grow, get more traffic in there and get more development in there. With Jim Cavanaugh getting off the board, his recommendation was to appoint Gary Damiano to the Task Force.

Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Motion to appoint Gary Damiano to the Task Force.
Board Member - Sandy Janow: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Approve
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve
Board Member - Pat Cassady: Approve
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Approve
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve
Motion Carried.

Item ~~4~~ 6. Discuss and take action on Orasi monthly report.
Thompson: The biggest thing has been addition of the second site of the study. Pacheco Koch has begun the study on site two that was approved by council and the EDC board. At the end of the day they will bring you cost for infrastructure and basic site plans for what the road could look like and a probability of construction cost. The other thing has been Project Office Space. We continue to roll with the various punches regarding that project and their ever changing frame of mind of where they would like to be and what kind of status they would like to be in. We continue to push forward on that. That's been the big two for the month.
Rankin: The one question I have is we were waiting on our final study on the first site. Can you tell us where we stand with that?
Thompson: Basically what Pacheco Koch would like to do is bring the whole project together. This is a continuation of the overall project so we will bring both sites at the same time.
Damiano: What is your completion time for the second site? And why should we wait for the first site to be done?
Thompson: I hope to have the completion of the second site by the October meeting.

Damiano: Why isn't it possible to get the final on the first site?
Thompson: The final on the first site is they are wrapping that up right now. They were basically waiting to see what you guys wanted to do on the pond study. Because the pond a major effect on that site.
Damiano: The results of the site study have a major effect on whether we do the pond study.
Thompson: Well what happens is and what we brought forward is do you guys want to do an analysis on the pond. The pond has an effect on how much land is developable. And without understanding, we wanted to wait and see before we moved forward before we finalized the site plan. If we go ahead and do the site plan the way it is now there is a lot less land available for development.
Damiano: Yes, about a third less.
Thompson: Before we did that we wanted to see if the council and the board was going to approve the study on the pond to see if can contract that pond a little bit to get any more development land. That pushed back our timing a little bit. We will come back with a full report on both of the sites hopefully at the October meeting. That is what we are shooting for.
Rankin: When we had our meeting the other day to orient our new board members on this project we talked about-when we looked at google earth-we talked about expansion of property so we could put in infrastructure on that piece. They cannot give us a final study on cost if we don't know how much land we are going to be using. So what they are going to give us is an incomplete study due to fact that we don't know how much we can reclaim from the pond.
Damiano: And we won't know how much we can reclaim from the pond until we do the water study.
Thompson: And that was declined.
Damiano: That was declined.
Cavanaugh: Jack I just want to make a comment and point out the EDC did approve-you know we've already spent seventeen thousand dollars on site one. The EDC did approve an extra thirty-seven hundred and fifty dollars-something to that effect-to complete the study and have it very comprehensive so we would know exactly what we are dealing with. And the City Council did not approve thirty-seven hundred dollars.
Rankin: So we are going to get an incomplete study on this first site.
Damiano: Which leaves me to believe that we are not waiting for anything on the first site.
Thompson: We're not now, your right, but we were.
Damiano: Ok so you are ready to go with the results of the first site?
Thompson: Not yet, no.
Damiano: Nothing is going to change given-.
Thompson: I understand, but we wanted to wait and make sure there wasn't an approval on the pond site. It made no sense to complete a study if you guys were going to approve the pond site. Once that's firmly dead and buried we can began on it.
Rankin: We don't need to argue about this.
Damiano: I'm trying to get clarification.
Thompson: And we will have both sites brought to the board as a completed study at the October meeting.
Cavanaugh: Jack, I agree with your frustration. I think as much money as we've invested the additional thirty-seven fifty would give us a complete picture of what the status of that site is. We really don't know how land we have to work with and what restrictions we are going to have with that water.
Thompson: You are leaving some acreage on the table in all likely hood.
Cavanaugh: I just want to recognize that you've been put in a position that you can't do anything further right now.
Damiano: I'm not saying you can. All I'm saying is we are not going to know anymore until we invest some more money in it. So we probably ought to look at the first analyses before we go back and try and get more extra money. That seems to make the most sense.
Rankin: You are not going to have the correct amount of dollars it's going to take to develop it. You are not going to have a correct estimate.
Damiano: We need to find out first what we are dealing with; whether the site is a feasible site.
Rankin: That was in the preliminary findings you have a report of.
Damiano: I've looked at the report. It doesn't look terrible feasible.
Janow: Thirty-seven hundred fifty dollars is the caveat?
Rankin: Three thousand, seven hundred fifty dollars to do an environmental study.

Thompson: Let's not call it an environmental study. That's not really accurate in what it is. The thirty-seven fifty was to study the effects of the pond and how much of that pond we can reduce. What it was a water way study? Is there any federal or state jurisdictions that have authority over that water way. If there is and we have to go through those authorities to find out how much we can reclaim. So that is decision 1. Is there any other government authority over this water way. Once that question is answered the second question is how much of that pond can be reclaimed so we can develop that land so that you are getting more bang for your buck. That was what the study was for. We originally had the board to approve it. I told my engineers it's been approved by the board, waiting council approval. Don't do anything else until we know, because this changes the scope of what we are doing. So they waited and we've had several meetings and I've been up and down 175 and that was denied. So once it was denied we had to wait on the six thousand approval on site two. My guys said we still have site two hanging out there that hasn't been approved or denied yet. So up and down 175 again and we got site two approved. So my call back to them was this has been blessed -go forth and complete everything. I wasn't for sure that the pond study might be resurrected. I didn't feel comfortable releasing site one until I was completely certain the minds weren't going to be changed on the pond study. So now that we've got it all squared away they've been released and we are moving forward to wrap up site one and do site two. I've told them I'd like to have this done by the October meeting.
Rankin: The one thing we would like if there is an opportunity for the city council to go back and revisit the environmental study I would appreciate if you would ask.
Webster: As liaison from the City Council to the EDC I hate to go backward instead of forward, but the reason that environmental study was turned down was because of frustration of some of the councilman that the original contract was for two sites and all the money was spent on one site and there was concerns that even if you did the environmental study site one may not work because you may have to fill in part of that and the cost would be tremendous for doing that. I will be happy to speak to the council about the thirty-seven hundred fifty dollars to do the environmental study-not environmental study if he wishes to call it something else that is up to him. Part of the concern was that-I'm not trying to get in an argument here-you go out and spend all this money without having the land under contract. You spend all that money, you've got to give those studies to the seller and then you go to the seller and he knows you've done all that work suddenly his price goes up. But regardless I will talk to the City Council and bring that back up on the agenda to see if they approve the thirty-seven hundred fifty dollars. But I think to overturn that decision would take a four-fifth vote, but I'm not sure since it was turned down already.
Cavanaugh: Linda, I have one other question for you. Would this be something the 175 Alliance help fund?
Rankin: No, the US 175 Corridor Alliance is strictly marketing; that's all they do.
Thompson: I hate to bring this up now, but what do we want to do on Site 1? If we are taking it back to Council.
Rankin: Site 1 is apparently finished for the time being. So we can go ahead and wrap it up.
Thompson: We will do that.
Rankin: It's disappointing; it's the wrong way to go. Now we've spent all of this money and we don't have the final answer.
Cassady: It's going to be difficult to make a decision without all of the information.
Rankin: I can't believe we can't finish this out so we can compare the two properties correctly.
Cassady: And just for a point of clarification, Jack-seventeen five, wasn't that from the very beginning-so the seventeen five was not just focused on site 1 it was looking at all the sites you brought to us, correct?
Thompson: We brought six sites originally.
Cassady: And we narrowed it down to one out of the six.
Thompson: And you decided to expand the scope of Site 1. Instead of doing two sites it, it was expanded site 1 scope.
Cassady: So to compare seventeen five to six thousand whatever, its two different animals. So just want to clarify.
Rankin: And to think we need to have a contract on that land at this point and time-we would have been setting on that contract having to renew it and renew it and that has not been an issue out there. I think that has become a moot point. We can only take action on your report today. If anything changes I'll let you know.

Board Member - Pat Cassady: Motion was made to accept the Orasi's monthly report.
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Approve
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve
Board Member - Pat Cassady: Approve
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Approve
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve
Motion carried.

Item ~~5~~ 7. Discuss and take action on Outreach PR letter.
Rankin: Connie Holubar who was the EDC's marketing director took a position in Galveston in July. Holubar was paid for her services for the month of August.
(At the August EDC meeting the directors approved Rankin writing Holubar a letter asking that she outline her services to the EDC for the month of August and ending her contract with the EDC at the end of July. A certified letter had been sent to Holubar followed up by an email.)
Holubar responded by email outlining her services to the EDC for August as well as September. Holubar' September monthly fee had been reduced with charges only for a Senior Resource Guide ad and a post card ad design for a total of $325.00.
Mayor Braswell: Recommended paying the $325.00 and move on.

Board Member - Jim Osborne: Motion was made to approve payment of $325.00
Board Member - Pat Cassady: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Approve
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve
Board Member - Pat Cassady: Approve
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Approve
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve
Motion carried.

Item ~~6~~ 8. Discuss and take action to seat Council appointed EDC Directors for Place 3 - a 1 year term, Place 2, 4, & 6 – a 2 year term.
Rob Rae was absent. Rankin presented plaques to Jim Braswell, Pat Cassady and Jim Cavanaugh. Black, Skains and Damiano were sworn into office. Rea would be sworn in at a later date.

Board Member - Gary Damiano: Motion to seat Patsy Black, David Skains and Rob Rea.
Board Member - Jim Osborne: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Approve
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve
Board Member - Pat Cassady: Approve
Board Member - Jim Cavanaugh: Approve
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve
Motion carried.

Item ~~7~~ 9. Discuss and take action to elect EDC Officers for 2014/2015.
President, Vice President, Treasurer, and Secretary
Rankin: We had discussion about this since we have a board member absent today. It could leave us with tie votes possibly. One recommendation was to go ahead and have the election. If there were a tie, that

officer would remain until another election could be held. At this time we are going to go ahead and fill the office of Secretary.
Damiano: I think we should start with President.
Rankin: We tried that last time and everyone and everyone said we should have started with Secretary.
Damiano: I think we should start with President first, because President can't hold the Secretary position if it comes down to that.

Secretary
Rankin: I know; I'm going to start with Secretary like we did last year. Do I have a nomination for Secretary? Patsy, would you consider being Secretary since you work well with Rita?
Black: I try to work well with Rita, but I would respectfully decline. It's a personal thing-it goes back to a personal thing. I can go into it later with you.
Rankin: Anyone on this side? OK we may not have a Secretary. Let's move on. Rob Rea has volunteered to be our Treasurer so I will nominate Rob Rae as Treasurer.

Treasurer:
President - Linda Rankin: Motion made a motion to nominate Rob Rea for Treasurer.
Board Member - Sandy Janow: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Approve
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve
Board Member - Black: Approve
Board Member - Jim Skains: Approve
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve
Motion carried.

The Treasurer could hold the office of Secretary, but Rankin hesitated appointing Rea to that position without his approval.

Vice President:
President - Linda Rankin: Motion to nominate David Skains for Vice President
Board Member - Black: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Approve
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Abstained.
Board Member - Skains: Approve
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Abstained
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve
Board Member-Black: Abstained.
Motion failed.

Board Member - Sandy Janow: Motion to nominate Gary Damiano for Vice President
Board Member - Black: 2nd
President - Linda Rankin: Disapprove
Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve
Board Member - Skains: Disapprove
Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve
Board Member - Jim Osborne: Disapprove
Board Member – Patsy Black: Approve
Motion failed.

President:

Board Member – David Skains: Motion to nominate Linda Rankin as President. She has been doing it for quite some time now. I think as much as going on right now between the EDC and City, she has a good grip on what's happening with that; probably our best opportunity to come out of it in a reasonable fashion.

Board Member – Jim Osborne: 2nd

President - Linda Rankin: Approve

Board Member - Gary Damiano: Disapprove

Board Member – David Skains: Approve

Board Member - Sandy Janow: Disapprove

Board Member - Jim Osborne: Approve

Board Member – Patsy Black: Disapprove

Motion failed.

Board Member – Gary Damiano made a motion to nominate Patsy Black. She's got the experience with City Council as well as the EDC. I think it would also send a strong message to the citizens of Gun Barrel City as well as the City Council.

Board Member – Sandy Janow: 2nd

President - Linda Rankin: Disapprove

Board Member - Gary Damiano: Approve

Board Member – David Skains: Disapprove

Board Member - Sandy Janow: Approve

Board Member - Jim Osborne: Disapprove

Board Member – Patsy Black: Approve

Motion failed.

Item ~~8~~ 10. Discuss and take action to appoint three new members to the RBEG Task Force.
Rankin nominated David Skains. Osborne seconded. All voted 'aye.' Motion carried.
Damiano nominated Patsy Black, Janow second. All voted 'aye'. Motion carried.
Rankin nominated Rob Rea, Skains seconded. All voted 'aye'. Motion carried.

Adjourned: 5:58 pm

Approved by: _____
David Skains, President

Attest by: Patsy Black, Secretary
Patsy Black, Secretary